

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/841,159	YACOBI ET AL.
	Examiner Benjamin E. Lanier	Art Unit 2132

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Benjamin E. Lanier.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kasey Christie.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Alattar.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Gilberto Barron Jr.*  
GILBERTO BARRON JR.  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed amendments to claims, which are attached. Examiner advised Mr. Christie that the amendments would constitute a new issue that would require further search and consideration and would not be entered after final, but would be entered if submitted with an RCE. Examiner suggested further amending the claims, as they would be in the proposed amendment form, to further distinguish the unique entity from the Alattar reference. Applicant will consider the Examiner's suggestion with respect to the proposed amendments when filing their Official Response.